



**ADVISORY GROUP
OF EXPERTS**

APPROVED

**Minutes No. 16 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of Experts
dated 18 February 2025**

DECISION No. 2.6

On the compliance of the candidate

for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine

Yuliia Kyrychenko

with the criterion of a recognised level of competence in the field of law

The Advisory Group of Experts (hereinafter referred to as the Advisory Group) composed of Oksana Vasylchenko, Chair of the Advisory Group, Maureen O'Connor, Secretary of the Advisory Group, members of the Advisory Group: Hanna Suchocka, Aleš Zalar, Yaroslav Romaniuk, Vasyl Shakun, in the presence of the Deputy Members of the Advisory Group: Volodymyr Kuzmenko, Vitalii Makhinchuk, Marek Zubik, as well as Richard Barrett and Thomas Griffith (in remote mode using electronic means of video communication), having assessed the compliance of the candidate for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine Yuliia Kyrychenko (hereinafter referred to as the Candidate) with the criterion of a recognised level of competence in the field of law, in accordance with the Law of Ukraine "On the Constitutional Court of Ukraine" (hereinafter referred to as the Law), the Regulations of the Advisory Group of Experts approved by the decision of the Advisory Group of Experts of 12 November 2023, as amended by Minutes No. 10 the meeting of the Advisory Group of Experts of 30 May 2024 (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations), the Methodology for Assessing Moral Qualities and Level of Competence in the Field of Law of Candidates for the Position of Judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, approved by the decision of the Advisory Group of Experts of

04 December 2023, as amended by Minutes No. 10 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of Experts of 30 May 2024 (hereinafter referred to as the Methodology),

has established:

1. In accordance with part twenty-five of Article 10² of the Law, the Advisory Group, among other things, assesses the level of competence in the field of law of candidates for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine.

2. According to part five of Article 10⁸ of the Law, candidates who have received a "compliant" assessment from the Advisory Group on the criterion of high moral qualities are assessed for compliance with the criterion of the level of competence in the field of law.

3. According to paragraph 2 of part three of Article 10⁸ of the Law, a candidate for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine is deemed to comply with the criterion of a recognised level of competence in the field of law if the candidate has the necessary knowledge to exercise the powers of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine. According to paragraph 4 of part three of Article 10⁸ of the Law, a candidate does not comply with this criterion in case of proof of non-compliance or reasonable doubts about compliance.

4. The Advisory Group determines whether the candidate for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine possesses the necessary knowledge to perform the powers of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine by assessing the candidate's knowledge in the areas defined in part four of Article 2 of the Methodology.

5. According to the decision of the Advisory Group No. 1.14, formalised in Minutes No. 15 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of 28 January 2025, Yuliia Kyrychenko was recognised as complying with the criterion of high moral qualities for the purposes of competitive selection of candidates for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine.

6. In accordance with the second paragraph of part two of Article 10⁸ of the Law, Article 4 of the Methodology, within fifteen days from the date of the decision to assess the compliance of all candidates with the criterion of high moral qualities, the Advisory Group assesses the level of competence in the field of law of each candidate for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, in particular by conducting a written

assessment of candidates for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, as well as conducting additional interviews (if necessary).

7. In accordance with parts two, three, five and six of Article 10⁸ of the Law and on the basis of paragraph d of part one of Article 4 of the Methodology, the Advisory Group adopted decisions Nos. 2-4, formalised in Minutes No. 5 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of 23 March 2024, by which it approved: the conditions for conducting a written assessment of the level of competence in the field of law of candidates for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, the composition of the external group of international experts to prepare written questions and questions for additional interviews to determine the level of competence in the field of law.

8. By the decision of the Advisory Group No. 2, formalised in Minutes No. 15 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of 28 January 2025, the Advisory Group set 08 February 2025 as the date for the written assessment of candidates for the positions of judges of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine.

9. On 08 February 2025, the Candidate participated in the written assessment by providing a written answers to written questions in accordance with the procedure provided for in paragraph d of part two of Article 4 of the Methodology.

10. On 18 February 2025, in accordance with part four of Article 4 of the Methodology, the Advisory Group adopted Decision No. 1, formalised in Minutes No. 16 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of 18 February 2025, on the absence of the need for additional interviews.

11. The Advisory Group, having reviewed the information about the Candidate and analysed the Candidate's response to the written assessment, decided that the Candidate complies with the criterion of a recognised level of competence in the field of law, given the following.

12. The candidate has demonstrated a sufficient level of knowledge and legal analysis in the written assessment.

13. The Candidate completed the written assignment by providing structured and logically coherent responses, although some conclusions contain certain contradictions. In response to the first task, the Candidate demonstrates an appropriate level of awareness regarding the types of judicial review, particularly the proportionality test and its possible

application. The analysis is structured and logical but could be supplemented with deeper examples from judicial practice.

In response to the second task, the Candidate presents her view on the separation of powers in the Constitution of Ukraine, which, while somewhat simplified, includes elements of postmodern liberalism and an interesting assessment of the relationship between branches of power. However, the Candidate's understanding of the relationship between the President and the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine appears rather contradictory. Additionally, a more in-depth and detailed response regarding the role of the judiciary could have been provided.

In responding to the third task, the Candidate narrows a broad range of issues solely to the question of power usurpation during wartime. Despite this narrowed approach, the response demonstrates the Candidate's ability to focus on key aspects of legal regulation. The answer exhibits strong analytical skills and an ability to connect theoretical concepts with constitutional constraints.

14. Overall, the Candidate demonstrates a sufficient level of knowledge in constitutional law, analytical thinking, and the ability to formulate clear legal positions.

15. Furthermore, the Candidate began her professional legal career at the Secretariat of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, where she worked from 1999 to 2005. From November 2007 to July 2017, she served as an assistant to the President of the Supreme Court of Ukraine. During their public service, the Candidate attained the 4th rank of civil servant. The Candidate is the author and co-author of numerous analytical documents in the field of constitutional law, including opinions upon requests from judges of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, amicus curiae submissions, and expert conclusions on the constitutionality of draft laws. Currently, the Candidate serves as the coordinator of the constitutional section of the Scientific and Advisory Council under the Chair of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine. The Advisory Group takes into account the above mentioned when assessing the Candidate's compliance with the criterion of a recognised level of competence in the field of law.

16. Taking into account the above, based on the results of the assessment of the Candidate's level of competence in the field of law for the purposes of selecting candidates for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, the Advisory Group did not find confirmation of inconsistency and has no reasonable doubts about the Candidate's

compliance with the criterion of a recognised level of competence in the field of law. Thus, the Candidate has the necessary knowledge to exercise the powers of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine.

Guided by Articles 6, 7 of the Regulations of the Advisory Group of Experts approved by the decision of the Advisory Group of Experts of 12 November 2023, as amended by Minutes No. 10 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of Experts of 30 May 2024, the Methodology for Assessing Moral Qualities and Level of Competence in the Field of Law of Candidates for the Position of Judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine approved by the decision of the Advisory Group of Experts of 04 December 2023, as amended by Minutes No. 10 of the meeting of the Advisory Group of Experts of 30 May 2024, Articles 10², 10⁸ of the Law of Ukraine "On the Constitutional Court of Ukraine", the Advisory Group

has decided:

candidate for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine Yuliia Kyrychenko for the purposes of selection of candidates for the position of a judge of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine on a competitive basis complies with the criterion of a recognised level of competence in the field of law.

Signatures:

Алеш Залар

(Aleš Zalar)

Василь Шакун

(Vasyl Shakun)

Ганна Сухоцька

(Hanna Suchocka)

Оксана Васильченко

(Oksana Vasylchenko)

Морін О'Коннор

(Maureen O'Connor)

Ярослав Романюк

(Yaroslav Romaniuk)